

Identification Number	References	Links to References	Elements
1	Adams, B., Ashe, K., Baek, J., Cantu, A., Chhin, C., Carruthers, J., Ehrlich, R., ... Evans, L. (2018, December). Charting a course for success: America's strategy for STEM education. Special Report National Science & Technology Council. Washington, D.C. Retrieved from https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED590474.pdf	Link	1.7, 1.10, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 3.2, 3.4, 3.5, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5
2	Carter, N. (2006). Educators perceptions of collaborative planning processes for students with disabilities (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from https://education.byu.edu/sites/default/files/EIME/documents/naristhesis.pdf	Link	2.4
3	Center for Enhancement of Teaching and Learning. (2009). Teaching for learning: a philosophical approach to classroom design - five basic principles. Atlanta, GA: Georgia Institute of Technology. Retrieved from http://www.ctl.gatech.edu/sites/default/files/documents/classroom_design_-_principles_and_information_for_georgia_tech.pdf	Link	3.2, 3.3, 3.5
4	Clayton, M. (2010). Designing multidisciplinary integrated curriculum units. Berkeley, CA: ConnectEd The California Center for College and Career. Retrieved from https://casn.berkeley.edu/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/LL_Designing_Curriculum_Units_2010_v5_web-1.pdf	Link	1.3, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3
5	Darling-Hammond, L. (2017, May). Effective teacher professional development. Palo Alto, CA: Learning Policy Institute. Retrieved from https://learningpolicyinstitute.org/sites/default/files/product-files/Effective_Teacher_Professional_Development_BRIEF.pdf	Link	1.8
6	Drake, S., & Burns, R. (2004). Meeting standards through integrated curriculum. Chapter 1: what is integrated curriculum? Retrieved on June 28, 2019 from https://books.google.com/books?id=Ye6g9jsdyEC&printsec=frontcover&source=gbs_ViewAPI#v=onepage&q&f=false	Link	1.3, 2.3, 3.2
7	Drake, S., & Reid, J. (2018). Integrated curriculum as an effective way to teach 21st century capabilities. Asia Pacific Journal of Educational Research. 1. 31-50. 10.30777/APJER.2018.1.1.03	Link	2.1, 3.2, 3.5
8	Friday Institute for Educational Innovation (2013). Elementary school STEM implementation rubric. Raleigh, NC: Author. Retrieved from https://ncsmt.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/STEMAttributesRubric_Elementary_v4_Aug2013_v2a.pdf	Link	1.1, 1.3, 1.4, 1.8, 1.9, 1.10, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.5, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5
9	Friday Institute for Educational Innovation (2013). High school STEM implementation rubric. Raleigh, NC: Author. Retrieved from https://ncsmt.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/STEMAttributesRubric_HIGH_v4_Aug2013_v1.pdf	Link	1.1, 1.3, 1.4, 1.8, 1.9, 1.10, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.5, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5
10	Friday Institute for Educational Innovation (2013). Middle school STEM implementation rubric. Raleigh, NC: Author. Retrieved from https://ncsmt.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/STEMAttributesRubric_MIDDLE_v4_Aug2013_v2.pdf	Link	1.1, 1.3, 1.4, 1.8, 1.9, 1.10, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.5, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5
11	G., & T. (2018, November). Indiana STEM: STEM six-year strategic plan. Retrieved from https://www.doe.in.gov/sites/default/files/wf-stem/20181108154535030.pdf	Link	1.8, 2.3, 3.5, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4
12	Hamilton, Laura S., Brian M. Stecher, and Kun Yuan. (2017). Creating an indicator of K-12 classroom coverage of science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) content and practices. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation. Retrieved from https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR1913.html	Link	1.7
13	Hodges, L. (2017, August). Ten research-based steps for effective group work. IDEA Paper #65. Retrieved from https://www.ideaedu.org/Portals/0/Uploads/Documents/IDEA%20Papers/IDEA%20Papers/PaperIDEA_65.pdf	Link	3.3
14	Larmer, J., & Mergendoller, J., (2011). The main course, not dessert: how are students reaching 21st century goals? with 21st century project based learning. Novata, CA: Buck Institute for Education. Retrieved from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/8a5e/2556b5862b8cabd1f4496935913e4c84f071.pdf?_ga=2.241450242.1631298407.1563458431-491304523.1562699554		1.3, 1.4, 1.9, 1.10, 2.1, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 4.3
15	Margot, C., & Kettler, T. (2019). Teachers' perception of STEM integration and education: a systematic literature review. International Journal of STEM Education, 6(2). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-018-0151-2	Link	1.3
16	McBride, L., Bailey, A., & Lautzenheiser, D. (2015). How to help teachers get better together. Boston, MA: The Boston Consulting Group. Retrieved from https://www.bcg.com/publications/2015/education-public-sector-how-to-help-teachers-get-better-together.aspx	Link	1.8
17	National Research Council (2011). Successful STEM education: a workshop summary. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/13230	Link	1.8, 1.9, 1.10, 2.5, 3.1, 3.2, 3.4, 3.5, 4.4
18	National Research Council (2013). Monitoring progress toward successful K-12 STEM education: a nation advancing? Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. http://nap.edu/13509	Link	1.3, 1.8, 1.10, 2.1, 4.4
19	National Science Foundation, National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics. 2019. Women, minorities, and persons with disabilities in science and engineering: 2019. Special Report NSF 19-304. Alexandria, VA. Retrieved from https://www.nsf.gov/statistics/wmpd	Link	1.10, 4.5
20	Readiness and Emergency Management for Schools Technical Assistance Center. 2019. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): Key Preparedness and Response Considerations. https://rem.s.ed.gov/docs/COOPTAonContinuityofTeaching_and_Learning_COVID19.pdf	Link	1.2
21	Reform Support Network. (2014, March). Strategies for community engagement in school turnaround. Special Report. Retrieved from https://www2.ed.gov/about/initiatives/ed/implementation-support-unit/tech-assist/strategies-for-community-engagement-in-school-turnaround.pdf	Link	1.6, 3.1
22	Sebring, Penny & Allensworth, Elaine & S. Bryk, Anthony & Q. Easton, John & Luppescu, Stuart. (2006). The essential supports for school improvement. Chicago, IL: Consortium On Chicago School Research at the University of Chicago. Retrieved from https://www.doe.in.gov/sites/default/files/school-improvement/essential-supports-school-improvement.pdf	Link	1.1, 1.8, 3.1, 4.3
23	The Arizona STEM Network. (2017). The STEM immersion guide. Scottsdale, AZ. Retrieved from http://stemguide.sfaz.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/SFAz_STEM_ImmersionGuide1214.pdf	Link	1.1, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.9, 2.1, 2.5, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3

24	U.S. Department of Education Office of Technology. (2017). Reimagining the role of technology in education: 2017 National Education Technology Plan Update. Washington, D.C.: Author. Retrieved from https://tech.ed.gov/files/2017/01/NETP17.pdf	Link	1.4
25	White, E. (2019). Input to impact: a framework for measuring success across the STEM talent ecosystem. Washington, D.C.: STEM connector. Retrieved from https://www.stemconnector.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/STEM_Report_Final_2019-2.pdf	Link	1.1
26	Wiebe, E., Unfried, A., & Faber, M. (2018). The relationship of STEM attitudes and career interest. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 14(10), em 1580. DOI: https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/92286	Link	1.5